

Meeting Summary

Topic	Bath City Supporters' Society – EGM	
Meeting Date	25 th April 2018	
Location	Twerton Park	
Committee Attendees	JB Jon Bickley CB Cheryl Bradley (Member Sec) MC Michael Clayton (Chair)	SM Shane Morgan SS Steve Skinner (Treasurer) MT Marc Thomas
Committee Apologies	PB Paul Brotherton KL Ken Loach, PM Pete McCormack, SP Stuart Page, ES Emma Sparks (secretary)	
Purpose of Meeting	To enable a ballot to allow members to determine the future of the Twerton Park pitch.	
Context	This EGM followed a consultation period which included a broadcast semi-formal Open Discussion evening (22 nd March).	

No.	Description	Status	Who	Date
1.	<p>Welcome / Preamble: Michael Clayton (Chair) opened the meeting and welcomed the members. He set the scene and provided context. Reminded members that ballot was one voting option – as well as on-line and postal voting.</p> <p>Encouraged members to remember that, whilst we might disagree on some topics we should continue to work together in the best interests of Bath City Football Club, whatever the outcome of this vote.</p> <p>Reminded members that the Club Board and the Society Committee recommend members vote “Yes” – in favour of the motion.</p> <p>Aim is to report results Thursday 26th April.</p> <p>A brief Q&A session preceded the opening of the ballot.</p>	Info		
2.	<p>Q&A 1: Funding: Q: How would a 3G pitch be funded? A: There are no firm plans at this stage. There are grants and donation options which will need to be explored and a strong</p>	Info		

	<p>preference not to incur any more debt. The rationale of voting before establishing funding is to a) avoid to expending time and effort on exploring funding options if there is no support from the members and b) the case for securing grants / funding is considerable stronger if the members are demonstrably supportive.</p>			
3.	<p>Q&A 2: Scrutiny / Accountability Q: What opportunities will members have to view the outcomes of the next level of analysis if the motion is carried? A: The Society Committee (who members have a chance to elect in June) are embedded in the Working Groups and are accountable to members. They support and scrutinise the Club Board on behalf of the members. Society members will have an opportunity to discuss and debate once a proposal is complete.</p>	Info		
4.	<p>Q&A 3: Future Votes Q: Will there be an opportunity to vote on the output of next phase? A: There are no further votes / EGM's scheduled. Its is hoped that the transparency and scrutiny currently in place, along with the excellent working relationship which exists between the Club Board and the Society Committee would negate the need. We have understandings in place which include the fact that we will not jeopardise promotion to the EFL if the current pitch rules are in place if (when?) we are a position to be promoted.</p>			
5.	<p>Q&A 3: Society Committee v Society Board: Q: Which is it? We seem to use the words interchangeably. A: It is a Committee. We should continue to a use the term Society Committee in future and avoid these allusions of grandeur. The important aspect is the actual role of the Committee which is to be accountable to members and support and govern the Club Board.</p>	Decision		
6.	<p>Q&A 4: Membership List: Q: Can members still renew / join so that they can vote at the AGM in June? A: Yes</p>	Info		
7.	<p>Voting: The Chair thanked the members for their support, maturity and dignity of debate in this process and closed the meeting. Members proceeded to the ballot box to vote.</p>	Info		

